

Europäisches Patentamt European Patent Office Office européen des brevets

Women's participation in inventive activity

Evidence from EPO data Key Findings

November 2022



Executive summary

While women's contributions to science and technology have been increasing in recent decades, parity with men has still not been reached. This study examines women's participation in patenting activity at the EPO in the 38 contracting states to the European Patent Convention (EPC).¹ The analysis focuses on all European patent applications submitted between 1978 and 2019, with occasional extensions until 2021, where possible. Using disambiguated inventor data and attributing gender to individual inventors based on their names, the analysis provides evidence on the presence of women inventors across different countries, time periods, technology fields and patent applicant profiles.

1 The data exclude Montenegro, which acceded the EPC on 1 October 2022, after this study was prepared.



WOMEN'S PARTICIPATION IN INVENTIVE ACTIVITY: EVIDENCE FROM EPO DATA

Key findings

1. The share of women inventors has increased steadily over time but is still below parity with that of inventors who are men. In EPO countries, the women inventor rate (WIR), which measures the percentage of women inventors among all inventors in patent applications in a given year, increased from around 2% in the late 1970s to more than 13% in 2019.



Source: author's calculations



 In 2019, the WIR in EPO countries (13.2%) is well above that in Japan (9.5%) but below the US WIR (15.0%). P.R. China and R. Korea show much higher shares of women inventors (26.8% and 28.3% in 2019, respectively), although the estimates are less robust than for other countries. Among EPC contracting states, Latvia (30.6% in 2010-2019), Portugal (26.8%), Croatia (25.8%), Spain (23.2%) and Lithuania (21.4%) have the highest WIR values, while Germany (10.0%), Luxembourg (10.0%), Liechtenstein (9.6%) and Austria (8.0%) have the lowest.

Figure E.2

Latvia 30.6% Portugal 26.8% Spain 25.8% Lithuania 22.2% Lithuania 20.7% Storia 20.7% Storia 20.7% Greece 20.7% Poland 20.7% Serbia 20.7% Storia 20.7% Storia 20.7% Serbia 20.7% Serbia 20.7% Serbia 20.7% Serbia 20.7% Sovenia 10.2% Sovenia 10.7% Sovenia 11.7% Sovenia 15.5% Sovenia 15.8% Belgium 15.8% Sovenia 15.8% Sovenia 15.8% Italy 14.3%	WIR by EPO country, 2	2010–2019					
Portugal Image: Constance of the second	Latvia						30.6%
Croatia part of the second sec	Portugal					26.8%	
Spain 12.2% 12.2% Lithuania 20.7% 100 Stonia 20.7% 100 Greece 20.7% 100 Poland 18.2% 100 Romania 17.8% 100 Store 17.7% 100 100 Store 17.7% 100 100 100 Store 15.8% 100 100 100 Italy 14.3% 100 100 100 Italy 13.5% 100 100 100 100 Italy 11.2% 100 100 100 100 100 Store 11.2% 100 100	Croatia					25.8%	
ithuania ithuania <td< td=""><td>ipain 📃</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>23.2%</td><td></td><td></td></td<>	ipain 📃				23.2%		
storia 20.7% ireece 20.7% oland 18.2% omania 17.8% urkey 17.7% erbia 17.7% olovenia 17.4% inace 16.6% yprus 15.8% yprus 15.3% ialay 14.3% ialay 13.5% inihad 12.6% inihad 12.4% <td>ithuania</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>21.4%</td> <td></td> <td></td>	ithuania				21.4%		
reccc 20.7% Intersection 20.7% I	stonia				20.7%		
phand 18.2% Image: second sec	reece				20.7%		
pmania 17.8% Image: Sector Secto	oland			18.2%			
arkey 17.7% Image: Section of the s	omania			17.8%			
interfaint intraft intraft intraft intraft intraft <tr< td=""><td>rkey</td><td></td><td></td><td>17.7%</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr<>	rkey			17.7%			
ance 17.4% Image: Signal	erbia			17.7%			
ance 16.6% S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	ovenia			17.4%			
elgium 15.8% Image: style	ance			16.6%			
prus 15.1% 14.3% 14.3% aly 14.3% 14.3% 14.3% aland 14.0% 14.3% 14.3% algaria 13.9% 14.3% 14.3% algaria 13.5% 14.3% 14.3% algaria 13.5% 14.3% 14.3% algaria 12.4% 14.3% 14.3% anmark 12.4% 14.3% 14.3% veden 12.4% 14.3% 14.3% avktai 12.4% 14.3% 14.3% avktai 12.4% 14.3% 14.3% avktai 12.4% 14.3% 14.3% avktai 11.9%	elgium			15.8%			
iy 14.3% 14.3% 14.3% iland 14.3% 14.3% 14.3% ilgaria 14.0% 14.3% 14.3% ilgaria 13.9% 12.4% 14.3% 14.3% inited Kingdom 12.6% 14.3% 14.3% 14.3% inited Kingdom 12.4% 14.3% 14.3% 14.3% inited Kingdom 12.4% 14.3% 14.3% 14.3% ingary 12.4% 14.3% 14.3% 14.3% ingary 12.4% 14.3% 14.3% 14.3% ingary 12.0% 14.3% 14.3% 14.3% intervine 11.9% 14.3% 14.3% 14.3% intervine 11.9% 14.3% 14.3% 14.3% intervine 11.3% 14.3% 14.3% 14.3% int	prus			15.1%			
land 14.0% 14.0% lgaria 13.9% 14.0% lgaria 13.9% 14.0% land 13.5% 14.0% land 13.5% 14.0% land 12.6% 14.0% inted Kingdom 12.4% 14.0% ingary 12.2% 14.0% interlands 11.9% 14.0% ech Republic 11.7% 14.0% interlands 11.2% 14.0% interlands 11.0% 14.0% interlands 11.0% 14.0% interlands 10.0% 14.0% interlands 10.0% 14.0% <td>ly</td> <td></td> <td>14</td> <td>.3%</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	ly		14	.3%			
Igaria 13.9% Image: Sector Secto	land		14.0)%			
o Image: Comparison of the comparison	Igaria		13.9	%			
Iand 12.6% Image: Sector	land		13.5%				
ited Kingdom 12.4% Image (12.4%)	land		12.6%				
ingary 12.4% Image: Constraint of the second of the s	ited Kingdom		12.4%				
nmark 12.4% Image: Sector	ingary		12.4%				
reden ovakia itzerland itterlands ech Republic orway 11.2% onaco 10.3% itterlands itterlands <	nmark		12.4%				
book 12.0% 12.0% book 11.9% 11.9% ech Republic 11.7% 11.2% borway 11.2% 11.2% bonaco 10.3% 10.0% ermany 10.0% 10.0%	veden		12.2%				
vitzerland vitzerland ech Republic orway onaco xembourg ermany bchtenstein P.6%	ovakia		12.0%				
activitiend activitiend activitiend activitiend	vitzerland		11.9%				
ech Republic 11.7% prway 11.2% ponaco 10.3% exembourg 10.0% ermany 10.0% echtenstein 9.6%	otherlands		11.9%				
brivay 11.2% brivay 11.2% brivay 10.3% component 10.0% component 9.6%	ech Republic		11.7%				
intro intro ponaco 10.3% intro 10.0% intro 10.0% intro 9.6%	orway		11 2%				
xembourg 10.0% prmany 10.0% schtenstein 9.6%	onaco		10.3%				
echtenstein 9.6%	vembourg		10.0%				
achtenstein 9.6%	ermany		10.0%				
	achtenstein		0.6%				
		0 \00/	7.0 %				

Source: author's calculations



- 3. Differences across EPO countries can largely be explained by those countries' technology specialisations and the contributions of universities and public research organisations (PROs) to patenting activity.
 - a. Chemistry stands out as the technology sector with the highest share of women inventors. The WIR in the 2010–2019 period reached over 22%, while the values in other technology sectors ranged from 10.1% in Instruments to 5.2% in Mechanical engineering. Within the Chemistry sector, Biotechnology and Pharmaceuticals have WIR values over 30%.
- b. Patent applications from universities and PROs have a significantly larger share of women inventors than their counterparts from companies. The WIR of 19.4% for this segment in 2010–2019 significantly exceeds that of individual inventors (9.3%) and private companies (10.0%).

Figure E.3

WIR in EPO countries by technological sector and applicant type, 2010–2019



Source: author's calculations



- 4. There is a consistent pattern of a decreasing share of women in segments ranging from total employment to PhD enrolment, to PhD graduates in STEM, to R&D personnel and researchers, to patenting. This confirms the diagnostic of a "leaking pipeline" issue, whereby women in EPO countries face increasing obstacles when progressing in STEM careers. Further analysis shows that women inventors, on average, produce fewer inventions than inventors who are men, which is partly due to their lower seniority.
- 5. Women are more likely to be found in inventor teams than among individual inventors, but they tend to have less senior positions in such teams than men. This reflects the increasing division of intellectual labour that accompanies the accumulation of knowledge, especially in technology fields in which women inventors tend to specialise, and bodes well for the future of women in patenting.
- 6. Women are also over-represented among inventors whose names and surnames are infrequent in their country of activity and more frequent abroad, which indicates a higher WIR for migrant women inventors than for native ones. This suggests that support for international mobility may give women more opportunities to engage in inventive careers.

Figure E.4

Comparison of WIR with women's shares in total employment, PhD enrolment, PhD graduates in STEM, R&D personnel, researchers and managers, 2010–2019



Source: author's calculations

The full report is available for download at: epo.org/women-inventors

978-3-89605-309-1